Breast Cancer®

U P D A T E

Conversations with Oncology Investigators Bridging the Gap between Research and Patient Care

MODERATOR

Neil Love, MD

FACULTY

Lisa A Carey, MD
Paul E Goss, MD, PhD
Daniel F Hayes, MD
Allan Lipton, MD
Andrew D Seidman, MD
George W Sledge Jr, MD

SPECIAL ISSUE

Proceedings from a Clinical Investigator Think Tank











Breast Cancer Update

A Continuing Medical Education Audio Series

OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY

Breast cancer is one of the most rapidly evolving fields in medical oncology. Published results from ongoing clinical trials lead to the continuous emergence of new therapeutic agents and changes in the indications for existing treatments. In order to offer optimal patient care — including the option of clinical trial participation — clinicians must be well informed of these advances. To bridge the gap between research and patient care, this program features leading oncology investigators debating the merits, applications and limitations of emerging data sets. By providing access to the latest research developments and expert perspectives, this CME program assists medical oncologists, hematologists and hematology-oncology fellows with the formulation of up-to-date clinical management strategies.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Apply the results of recent clinical research defining the bone-protective and anticancer role of adjuvant intravenous bisphosphonates with concomitant endocrine therapy to current treatment decisions.
- Recognize the applications and limitations of biomarker assays and genomic signatures as therapeutic decisionmaking aids in the management of early breast cancer.
- Develop an algorithm for the treatment of localized hormone receptor-positive breast cancer, addressing disease
 chronicity relative to the benefits and risks of endocrine therapy.
- Summarize existing research to support alternative doses, formulations and schedules of the commonly used adjuvant
 and metastatic chemotherapeutic regimens.
- Compare and contrast the efficacy and safety of trastuzumab when combined with anthracycline- and nonanthracycline-containing chemotherapy.
- Appraise the role of lapatinib and other novel anti-HER2 agents in the treatment of trastuzumab-resistant metastatic disease.
- Recall the evidence-based role of bevacizumab in the treatment of advanced breast cancer, and explain the scientific
 rationale for its ongoing investigation in the adjuvant setting.
- Counsel patients with anthracycline- and taxane-refractory breast cancer about the benefits and risks of further treatment with ixabepilone.
- Identify ongoing clinical trial opportunities for appropriately selected patients with breast cancer.

ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice designates this educational activity for a maximum of 2.75 AMA PRA Category 1 CreditsTM. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

HOW TO USE THIS CME ACTIVITY

This CME activity contains an audio component. To receive credit, the participant should review the CME information, listen to the CDs and complete the Post-test and Educational Assessment and Credit Form located in the back of this booklet or on our website at **ResearchToPractice.com/BCU/ThinkTank**.

This program is supported by educational grants from Abraxis BioScience, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Genentech BioOncology and Sanofi-Aventis.

Last review date: January 2009; Release date: January 2009; Expiration date: January 2010

If you would like to discontinue your complimentary subscription to *Breast Cancer Update*, please email us at lnfo@ResearchToPractice.com, call us at (800) 648-8654 or fax us at (305) 377-9998. Please include your full name and address, and we will remove you from the mailing list.

CONTENT VALIDATION AND DISCLOSURES

Research To Practice (RTP) is committed to providing its participants with high-quality, unbiased and state-of-the-art education. We assess potential conflicts of interest with faculty, planners and managers of CME activities. Real or apparent conflicts of interest are identified and resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process. In addition, all activity content is reviewed by both a member of the RTP scientific staff and an external, independent physician reviewer for fair balance, scientific objectivity of studies referenced and patient care recommendations.

FACULTY — Dr Carey had no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose. The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported real or apparent conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process: Dr Goss — Advisory Committee: GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Paid Speaker: Pfizer Inc, Wyeth. Dr Hayes — Paid Research: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Pfizer Inc. Dr Lipton — Consulting Agreements: Amgen Inc, Incyte Corporation, Merck and Company Inc, Monogram Biosciences, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Expert Testimony: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Paid Research: Monogram Biosciences, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Speakers Bureau: Amgen Inc, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation. Dr Seidman — Consulting Agreement: Wyeth; Speakers Bureau: Abraxis BioScience, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Genomic Health Inc. Dr Sledge — Advisory Committee: Genentech BioOncology, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Consulting Agreement: Genentech BioOncology; Paid Research: Eli Lilly and Company, Sanofi-Aventis.

MODERATOR — Neil Love: Dr Love is president and CEO of Research To Practice, which receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop CME activities from the following commercial interests: Abraxis BioScience, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Aureon Laboratories Inc, Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation/Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc, Biogen Idec, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Eisai Inc, Eli Lilly and Company, Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, GlaxoSmithKline, ImClone Systems Incorporated, Merck and Company Inc, Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Ortho Biotech Products LP, OSI Oncology, Pfizer Inc, Roche Laboratories Inc, Sanofi-Aventis, Synta Pharmaceuticals Corp and Wyeth.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE STAFF AND EXTERNAL REVIEWERS — The scientific staff and reviewers for Research To Practice have no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose.

This educational activity contains discussion of published and/or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the Food and Drug Administration. Research To Practice does not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications and warnings. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

Breast Cancer Update Downloadable Audio and Podcasts



Breast Cancer Update is available in MP3 format or as a Podcast delivered directly to your computer. To download complimentary copies of BCU or to subscribe to our free Podcasts, please visit www.ResearchToPractice.com/BCU.

What is a Podcast? Podcasts are audio files that are automatically delivered to Podcasting software on your computer, such as iTunes® or Juice Receiver, each time a new issue is available. You can listen to these files on your computer, or they can be quickly and easily transferred to your iPod® or other portable audio MP3 player for listening on the road, at home or while you exercise.

Please note that all of our other audio series are also available in these formats, and you may subscribe to as many Podcasts as you wish.

Breast Cancer Update — Think Tank Issue 2, 2008

QUESTIONS (PLEASE CIRCLE ANSWER):

- Gnant and colleagues reported that in a trial for premenopausal women examining ovarian suppression with tamoxifen or anastrozole, alone or combined with zoledronic acid, patients who received bisphosphonate therapy experienced which of the following?
 - a. Reduction in contralateral breast cancer
 - b. Reduction in locoregional recurrence
 - c. Reduction in distant metastases
 - d. All of the above
- An analysis by Goss and colleagues after the unblinding of the MA17 trial showed an advantage to late, extended adjuvant endocrine therapy for women who had completed five years of tamoxifen, despite a substantial period between the discontinuation of tamoxifen and the initiation of letrozole.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 3. Regarding the optimal duration of adjuvant therapy for women with early, ER/PR-positive breast cancer, data from the MA17 trial demonstrate which of the following?
 - a. Five years of adjuvant endocrine therapy is superior to 10 years
 - b. Ten years of adjuvant endocrine therapy is superior to five years
- 4. In the NCCTG-N9831 adjuvant trastuzumab trial, which factors were associated with an increased risk of cardiac dysfunction in patients who received AC followed by paclitaxel/ trastuzumab?
 - a. Older age
 - b. Lower registration LVEF
 - c. Use of antihypertensive medications
 - d. All of the above
- 5. In a clinical trial evaluating capecitabine with or without ixabepilone for patients with taxane- and anthracycline-resistant metastatic breast cancer, the addition of ixabepilone resulted in a significant improvement in progression-free survival.
 - a. True
 - b. False

- Analysis of data from NSABP-B-31 by Paik and colleagues indicates that only women with HER2-positive breast cancer experience clinical benefit from adjuvant trastuzumab.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- Data from the Phase II trial incorporating bevacizumab into dose-dense AC followed by paclitaxel suggest incorporation of this agent into anthracyclinecontaining adjuvant therapy is feasible in terms of safety parameters assessed.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 8. The CALGB is conducting a clinical trial evaluating paclitaxel versus _____ versus ixabepilone, combined with bevacizumab, for patients with advanced breast cancer.
 - a. Docetaxel
 - b. Nanoparticle albumin-bound (*nab*) paclitaxel
 - c. Capecitabine
- 9. In the AVADO trial, the addition of bevacizumab to docetaxel as first-line therapy for women with metastatic breast cancer resulted in significant improvement in which endpoint?
 - a. Overall survival
 - b. Progression-free survival
 - c. Both a and b
 - d. None of the above
- 10. In a randomized study reported by O'Shaughnessy and colleagues, the combination of lapatinib and trastuzumab did not improve progression-free survival compared to lapatinib alone for heavily pretreated patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer progressing on trastuzumab.
 - a. True
 - b. False

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND CREDIT FORM

Breast Cancer Update — Think Tank Issue 2, 2008

Research To Practice is committed to providing valuable continuing education for oncology clinicians, and your input is critical to helping us achieve this important goal. Please take the time to assess the activity you just completed, with the assurance that your answers and suggestions are strictly confidential.

PART ONE — Please tell us about your experience with this educational activity

BEFORE completion of this activity, how would AFTER completion of this activity, how would you characterize your level of knowledge on you characterize your level of knowledge on the following topics? the following topics? 4 =Very good 3 =Above average 2 =Adequate 1 =Suboptimal 4 = Very good 3 = Above average 2 = Adequate 1 = SuboptimalImpact of delayed endocrine therapy on Impact of delayed endocrine therapy on hormone-responsive disease 4 3 2 1 hormone-responsive disease 4 3 2 1 Development of anti-HER2 regimens to Development of anti-HER2 regimens to overcome acquired trastuzumab resistance ...4 3 2 1 overcome acquired trastuzumab resistance ...4 3 2 1 Utility of maintenance bevacizumab in Utility of maintenance bevacizumab in clinical practice4 3 2 1 clinical practice4 3 2 1 Clinical trial data on ixabepilone in Clinical trial data on ixabepilone in Was the activity evidence based, fair, balanced and free from commercial bias? ☐ Yes Will this activity help you improve patient care? ☐ No ☐ Not applicable If no, please explain: Did the activity meet your educational needs and expectations? Please respond to the following LEARNER statements by circling the appropriate selection: 4 = Yes 3 = Will consider 2 = No 1 = Already doing N/M = Learning objective not met N/A = Not applicable As a result of this activity. I will be able to: Apply the results of recent clinical research defining the bone-protective and anticancer role of adjuvant intravenous bisphosphonates with concomitant • Recognize the applications and limitations of biomarker assays and genomic signatures as therapeutic decision-making aids in the management of early4 3 2 1 N/M N/A • Develop an algorithm for the treatment of localized hormone receptor-positive

breast cancer, addressing disease chronicity relative to the benefits and risks of

· Compare and contrast the efficacy and safety of trastuzumab when combined with

· Appraise the role of lapatinib and other novel anti-HER2 agents in the treatment of

 Recall the evidence-based role of bevacizumab in the treatment of advanced breast cancer, and explain the scientific rationale for its ongoing investigation

Counsel patients with anthracycline- and taxane-refractory breast cancer about

• Identify ongoing clinical trial opportunities for appropriately selected patients with

• Summarize existing research to support alternative doses, formulations and schedules

of the commonly used adjuvant and metastatic chemotherapeutic regimens. 4 3 2 1 N/M N/A

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT	AND CRI	דוטב	FOI	RM (contir	iued)				
What other practice changes will yo	u make or o	onsic	der m	aking as a	result of th	nis ac	tivity?	?	
What additional information or training do you need on the activity topics or other oncology- related topics? Additional comments about this activity:									
As part of our ongoing, continuous quality-improvement effort, we conduct postactivity follow- up surveys to assess the impact of our educational interventions on professional practice. Please indicate your willingness to participate in such a survey.									
Yes, I am willing to participate in a follow-up survey. No, I am not willing to participate in a follow-up survey.									
PART TWO — Please tell us about the moderator and faculty for this educational activity									
4 = Very good	3 = Above avera	age	2 = A	dequate 1	= Suboptimal				
Faculty	Knowledg	ge of	subje	ct matter	Effective	ness a	as an	educat	tor
Lisa A Carey, MD	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	
Paul E Goss, MD, PhD	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	
Daniel F Hayes, MD	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	
Allan Lipton, MD	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	
Andrew D Seidman, MD	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	
George W Sledge Jr, MD	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	
Moderator	Knowledge of subject matter					educat	tor		
Neil Love, MD	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1	
Please recommend additional facult	ty for future	activ	vities						
	-								
Other comments about the moderator and faculty for this activity:									
REQUEST FOR CREDIT —	Please pr	rint	clea	rly					
Nama	•			Consiste					
Name:				Specially	:				
9	□ NP		RN	□ PA	☐ Othe	r			
Medical License/ME Number: Last 4 Digits of SSN (required):									
Street Address:					Box/Suite	e:			
City, State, Zip:									
Telephone:		F	ах:						
Email:									
Research To Practice designates this educational activity for a maximum of 2.75 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity. I certify my actual time spent to complete this educational activity to be hour(s).									

To obtain a certificate of completion and receive credit for this activity, please complete the Post-test, fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form and fax both to (800) 447-4310, or mail both to Research To Practice, One Biscayne Tower, 2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600, Miami, FL 33131. You may also complete the Post-test and Educational Assessment online at www.ResearchToPractice.com/BCU/ThinkTank/CME.

Signature: Date:



Moderator Managing Editor Neil Love, MD Kathrvn Ault Ziel, PhD

Scientific Director

Richard Kaderman, PhD

Senior Director, Medical Affairs

Aviva Asnis-Alibozek, PA-C, MPAS

Writers

Lilliam Sklaver Poltorack, PharmD Douglas Paley

Continuing Education Administrator for Nursing

Sally Bogert, RNC, WHCNP

Content Validation

Margaret Peng

Erin Wall

Clayton Campbell Jessica McCarrick

Director, Creative and Copy Editing

Aura Herrmann

Creative Manager **Graphic Designers** Fernando Rendina Jessica Benitez

Jason Cunnius Tamara Dabnev

Shantia Daniel Claudia Munoz Alexis Oneca

Senior Production Editor Traffic Manager Copy Editors

Tere Sosa Dave Amber

Margo Harris David Hill Rosemary Hulce Kirsten Miller Pat Morrissev/Havlin Carol Peschke Susan Petrone

Production Manager

Tracy Potter Frank Cesarano

Audio Production Web Master

John Ribeiro Melissa Vives

Faculty Relations Manager CME Director/CPD Director **Contact Information**

Isabelle Tate Neil Love, MD

Research To Practice One Biscayne Tower

2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600

Miami, FL 33131 Fax: (305) 377-9998

Email: DrNeilLove@ResearchToPractice.com

For CMF/CNF Information

Fmail: CF@ResearchToPractice.com

Copyright © 2009 Research To Practice. All rights reserved.

The compact discs, Internet content and accompanying printed material are protected by copyright. No part of this program may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or utilizing any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are

not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors. Participants have an implied responsibility to use the newly acquired information to enhance patient outcomes and their own professional development. The information presented in this activity is not meant to serve as a guideline for patient management.

Any procedures, medications or other courses of diagnosis or treatment discussed or suggested in this activity should not be used by clinicians without evaluation of their patients' conditions and possible contraindications or dangers in use, review of any applicable manufacturer's product information and comparison with recommendations of other authorities.



Copyright © 2009 Research To Practice.

This program is supported by educational grants from

Abraxis BioScience, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company,

Genentech BioOncology and Sanofi-Aventis.

Research To Practice®

Sponsored by Research To Practice.

Last review date: January 2009 Release date: January 2009 Expiration date: January 2010 Estimated time to complete: 2.75 hours





